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INTRODUCTION

- Gradient-based optimization for robot planning and control with hardware of unknown dynamics in the loop requires differentiation through the hardware.
- Differentiation through the hardware is possible using numerical-differentiation-like techniques like score function gradient estimators [1] and policy gradient reinforcement learning [2]. However, these lead to significant data inefficiency when incorporating into optimization-based planning and control frameworks.
- We propose an adaptive neural gradient field method for hardware differentiation. We focus on application of the proposed method in optimal control of a robotic system with unknown dynamics in the loop.

PROBLEM FORMULATION

Optimal control and planning of a robot with unknown hardware dynamics given an initial condition:

\[
\min_{x, u} J(x, u) = \sum_{t=0}^{T} c(x_t, u_t)
\]

subject to:

\[
x_{t+1} = f(x_t, u_t) \quad \text{(hardware)}
\]

\[
x_0 = x_{\text{init}} \quad \text{(initial condition)}
\]

The dynamics \(f(x_t, u_t)\) is hardware, does not always have an analytical form that can be differentiated for optimization.

The numerical differentiation methods, such as score function gradient estimators [1] and policy gradient reinforcement learning [2] is data intensive.

Our objective:

- Develop a data-efficient method to solve the above optimal control problem by differentiate through the unknown dynamics (robot hardware).

APPREACH

Randomized Smoothing (RS) [3]

- RS is to smooth a given (potentially non-smooth) function by convoluting the function \(f(x, u)\) with a distributions \(\mu(Z)\) (e.g., Gaussian)
- Using integration by parts, the differentiation of RS smoothed function is:

\[
\nabla_x f_c(x, u) = E_{Z \sim \mu} \left[ f(x + \varepsilon Z, u) \right]
\]

- Using Monte Carlo to approximate

\[
\nabla_x f_c(x, u) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left( f(x + \varepsilon Z, u) - f(x, u) \right)
\]

\[
\varepsilon \text{ is used to indicate the variance of random samples. The greater the variance the smoother the function}
\]

Neural gradient field for hardware dynamics

Idea: train neural network as proxy of RS differentiation

Train neural networks with data from RS differentiation to learn gradient fields of hardware dynamics \(f\):

\[
\min_{\Theta} E_{P(x,u)} ||s_\theta(x, u) - \nabla_x f_c(x, u)||^2
\]

\[
\min_{\Theta} E_{P(x,u)} ||s_\theta(x, u) - \nabla_x f_c(x, u)||^2
\]

- \(s_\theta(x, u)\) and \(s_\theta(x, u)\) are the gradient fields of the hardware dynamics w.r.t. \((x, u)\), respectively

Adaptive neural gradient field for optimal control

We integrate the neural gradient field to the iterative linear Quadratic Regulator(IQR) [4] framework, and develop the adaptive neural gradient field for robot planning.

Input:

Cost: \(J(x, u)\)

Hardware: \(f(x, u)\)

Parameters: \(\varepsilon, \text{# of samples, max iterations}\)

RESULTS & ANALYSIS

This is an example of RS, the blue function is approximated by the other curves that use RS. Increasing \(\varepsilon\) value creates a smoother curve but creates more deviation from the original function.

We compare the different number of samples used for the RS differentiation against the iterations. Here, we see that samples ranging from 500-1000 are best suited for hardware differentiation.

We compare the data complexity (number of hardware interactions) of our adaptive neural gradient field method against that of the vanilla RS differentiation methods for IQR. It can be seen there is a 60% decrease in computational requirements.
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